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ABSTRACT:
Objective: Analyze grading of IPSS (International Prostatic Symptom Score) and PV (Prostate Volume) of BPH patients in a subset of Karachi Population.
Methodology: A 103 Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) patients were recruited. A cross-sectional study was done Prostate Volume was recorded along with their IPSS also noted.
Result: Among BPH patients 25.2% patients had prostate volume of 25 to 30 ml, 52.5% of patient had prostate volume of 30 to 50ml and 22.3% of patients had prostate volume above 50 ml. In IPSS grading 82.5% patients were under moderate symptom group and 17.5% were under severe symptom group.
Conclusion: International Prostatic Symptom Score continues to increase as Prostate volume increases.
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INTRODUCTION:
Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia is a noncancerous enlargement of prostate gland. The disease can be assessed on two parameters. Objective and Subjective. “Objective parameters are prostate volume, urinary flow rate, determination of post void residu. Subjective parameters are incomplete emptying, frequency, intermittency, urgency, weak stream, straining and nocturia”

There are number of questionnaires available which assesses the symptoms of Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia. Some of these questionnaires are Boyarksky score, Madsen Iverson score, and Danish prostatic symptom score, International Prostatic Symptom Score(IPSS), Maine medical assessment score).

Subjective parameters are used to quantify Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms (LUTS) as is assessed by International Prostate Symptom Score. As IPSS consists of seven questions. Each question consist of maximum 5 and minimum 0 score with a total score of IPSS constituting 35. World Health Organization has modified IPSS and severity of lower urinary tract symptoms is always graded as a mild symptom, moderate and severe symptom. On assessing the symptoms of LUTS patients were scored. A score of 0-7 comes under category of mild LUTS, 8-19 score has moderate LUTS and 20-35 score has severe symptoms as checked by IPSS score .

IPSS study was conducted by J.A. Chicharro et al in 1998, on 1106 men to correlate symptoms with BPH². Results indicate that prostate volume increases with age as IPSS also increases and moderate lower urinary tract symptoms is perceived as poor quality of life³. Recently in a study conducted in Shanghai³ demonstrated usefulness of IPSS in the evaluation of BPH.

In clinical practice and research, the symptom severity of patient, as it is being assessed by prostate volume and negative impact of LUTS on patient life as assessed by IPSS should be accurately recorded. The dire need to assess and grade IPSS and Prostate volume in our subset of population is essential as all the therapeutic regimen like medications, surgeries and behavioural therapies will depend on reducing the severity of symptoms in patients and also alleviate the negative impact of LUTS on their life.

METHODOLOGY:
A cross sectional study was carried out in Ziauddin University Hospital, Karachi 2016. Samples were selected through convenience sampling. Target population was BPH patients aged 40 years and above with IPSS > 8. An informed consent was obtained from each participant. After taking history an IPSS questionnaire was filled and IPSS was then calculated.

Patients with urinary retention status, prostatitis, Known case of prostatic carcinoma and on medications using 5-alpha reductase inhibitors and anti-androgens were not included in this study. These subjects were excluded from the study by observing their past medical history or by patient clinical reports and as well as by abdominal ultrasound conducted for prostatic volume also helped to exclude kidney diseases along with above mentioned exclusion criteria.
Sample size included 103 BPH patients. Out of them 37 were already diagnosed as BPH prior to ultrasonography from urology clinic, 50 volunteers with IPSS >8 and PV >25ml fulfilling our study criteria were also included in this study as BPH patients.

Radiological assessment was carried out using Ultrasound machine, Toshiba Xario version 0.09, 3.5 Megahertz curvilinear transducer was used.

SPSS version 20 was used for analyzing statistics. Variables that were quantitative in nature was measured using ANOVA and Pearson correlation and Multinominal Regression. <0.05 P value was taken as notable.

RESULTS:

In this study, BPH patients were categorized on the basis of their prostate volume. PV of 25-30ml were categorized as Grade 1, PV between 31-50ml were those subjects that were categorized as Grade 2, whereas Grade 3 were subjects between 51-93ml of prostate volume.

The table shows that using the total symptom score of each subject, 85 of them (82.5%) experienced moderate lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and 18 of them (17.5%) experienced severe symptoms. Therefore, using IPSS, 103 BPH subjects had LUTS suggestive of BPH.

In order to check the association of IPSS (International Prostate Symptom Score) groups with PV (Prostate Volume). In this study, IPSS was divided into 2 groups according to the severity of symptoms of BPH patients i.e moderate and severe groups. Highest mean Prostate volume was found in IPSS severe score group as shown in table 3. This was statistically significant (p-value 0.035).

There was progressive increase in IPSS scoring with mean prostate volume increasing from 40 ml in moderate score group to 61ml in severe score group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADING OF PV (ml)</th>
<th>Frequency(n)</th>
<th>Percent %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 – (25-30ml)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 - (31-50ml)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>52.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 - (51-93ml)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Distribution of samples with respect to Grading of PV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ipss Grading</th>
<th>Frequency(n)</th>
<th>Percent %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate Score (8-19)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>82.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe Score (20 Onwards)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Distribution of BPH patients according to IPSS grading.

DISCUSSION:

In this sample of 103 patients, we found that 26 patients had 25 to 30ml of prostate volume (Table 1). Large number of patients were having 31 to 50ml of prostate volume in our study and only few patients reached 51 to 93ml of prostate volume with gross enlargement. A study by Collins et al found that 1627 patients showed maximum number of patients having the prostate volume ranging between 30 to 40ml. Baswaraj et al found that 79% of BPH patients had prostate volume between 25 to 50ml. This study is in accordance to above mentioned study as 80% of our population had prostate volume ranging between 25 to 50 ml.

The need of grading of prostate volume is important as it helps urologist in decision making of the kind of treatment required by the BPH patients. Wang in his study reported, they designated their grading as a, b & c. Grade a was patients with Prostate volume < 20ml, Grade b was prostate volume between 20 to 40 ml and grade c was prostate volume greater than 40ml.

Prostate volume size is crucial as it helps urosurgeons to decide small prostate size but median lobe enlargement needs surgical excision whereas large prostate size with no enlargement of median lobe can be treated by 5 alpha reductase inhibitors. Usually patients with 70ml or more requires suprapubic prostatectomies.

In our study 85 number of patients were in the moderate symptom group. It follows therefore, that IPSS can be used to detect and determine the severity of BPH in order to select the most appropriate treatment group, while severe symptoms group were 18 patients as assessed by IPSS (Table 2). A Nigerian study in 2012 reported that 71% of their patients were in the moderate symptom group. However, Overland
et al. in their study found 23.6% of their patients had moderate symptoms and only 5% of the patients had severe symptoms. IPSS increases with increasing Prostate Volume in our study as shown in table 3.

The variability of symptoms may be due to variable pattern of prostate enlargement. Excess growth in the transitional zone can produce enlargement without significant obstructive symptoms. On the other hand, periurethral enlargement or nodular growth can produce obstructive symptoms with no enlargement of gland as a whole. IPSS increased with increasing prostate volume in our study as shown in table 3.

This study also reported the association of Prostate Volume with IPSS, notified that patients with IPSS of 8 to 19 which is a moderate group also has prostate volume of 40 ml whereas severe score group which is 20 to 35 also has significantly increased prostate volume of 60 ml.

IPSS proves to be valuable tool in management of Benign prostatic hyperplasia. Patients falling in IPSS moderate symptom group with prostate volume enlargement of >30 ml and aged 50 years can be given treatment of 5 alpha reductase inhibitors. Combination therapy of alpha blockers and 5 alpha reductase inhibitors is given to patients with LUTS along with prostatic enlargement, this combo drug helps in preventing urinary retention status as well as can delay surgeries of those patients who are reluctant to undergo surgery. Patients with larger prostate volume along with falling in severe symptom group of IPSS requires Trans urethral resection of prostate (TURP) which serves to be a gold standard treatment in BPH. However, open prostatectomies are for patients with larger prostate gland, patients not fit for TURP with risk of excessive bleeding. TUIP (transurethral incision of prostatectomy) can be done for patients with prostate volume of 30 ml or less having mild to moderate symptoms. Minimally invasive surgeries like TUMT (trans urethral microwave therapy) and TUNA (trans urethral needle ablation) are also preferable for younger patients falling in moderate symptom IPSS group with small to moderate size prostate gland. IPSS is a simple document, a simple questionnaire should be present in urological clinics, simple affective tool in management of LUTS along with BPH.

CONCLUSION:

Benign prostatic hyperplasia is most common disease affecting aged men of our society. PV continues to increase so does the symptom score. IPSS can be an affective tool for health care providers in assessing degree of severity of symptoms of BPH patients, before recommending BPH patients for TAUS or TRUS
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