ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the anxiety experienced before, during and after conventional paraffin gauze nasal pack removal in patients operated under local versus general anesthesia.

Study design: Comparative study.

Place and duration of study: Department of ENT, Combined Military Hospital Sialkot and PAF Hospital Shorkot from July 2017 to June 2018.

Material and methods: A total of 120 patients planned for Septoplasty were enrolled and divided into two groups. Sixty patients were to be operated under local anesthesia (Group A) while the other 60 were undergoing the same procedure under general anesthesia (Group B). Conventional paraffin gauze nasal packing was done for 24 hours in all 120 patients. Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) was used to determine the patients’ anxiety in both groups, 1 hour pre-operatively, immediately before and 1 hour after nasal pack removal.

Results: The mean Hamilton Anxiety Scale assessment scores in both groups were of ‘mild’ category. The highest scores in both groups were observed immediately before nasal pack removal, with a range of 15-18, while the lowest scores in both groups were documented one hour after pack removal with a range of 13-16. Anxiety level in patients operated under general anesthesia was slightly lower than patients administered local anesthesia mean score of 16.40 ± 0.763 vs 17.21 ± 0.666 (p<0.001).

Conclusion: Anxiety during nasal pack removal is mainly associated with prior pain experienced during nasal pack insertion. It is recommended that proper analgesia, adequate topical anesthesia, gentle insertion would make this process less distressing and will subsequently result in less anxiety at its removal.
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INTRODUCTION:

The earliest recorded reference to the use of nasal packing is found in the writings of Hippocrates in controlling epistaxis\(^1\). Nasal packing is commonly used to control bleeding following nasal surgery like septoplasty, turbinoplasty and functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). An ideal nasal pack should cause minimal discomfort at insertion, exhibit a good splinting effect, control bleeding, and have minimal complications\(^2\). Nasal packing has some inherent disadvantages, such as causing discomfort, pain, nasal mucosal damage, septal perforation, allergic reaction, sleep/respiratory disturbances and decreased arterial oxygen saturation during sleep. Traumatic insertion of nasal packs can also result in iatrogenic bleeding\(^3\). Attempts have been made to produce materials that will address these problems, including removable and absorbable packing, and a multitude of nasal packing materials has emerged in recent years\(^4\).

It is estimated that 60–80% of surgical patients experience substantial anxiety prior to surgery. Apart from pathophysiological responses such as hypertension and dysrhythmias, anxiety may also worsen the patients' perception of pain and may impede overall perioperative satisfaction\(^5\). Anxiety is a feeling of apprehension and fear, characterized by physical symptoms such as palpitations, sweating, and feelings of stress. Patient’s anxiety can be measured objectively using various tests e.g., Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory Clinical Assessment Scale (STAI-S) and Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS). We used Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAM-A) in our study.

It is our experience that those patients who had their nasal packing performed under Local anesthesia, having experienced the discomfort at insertion, have more anxiety because of the distressing memory, prior to their removal. On the other hand, patients who were operated under general anesthesia, being unaware of the discomfort at the time of nasal packing exhibit lesser anxiety prior to removal of the nasal packs. Search of the internet revealed a paucity of
published literature on anxiety due to nasal packs, and none on this topic. This study was thus formulated to scientifically document and evaluate the anxiety experienced before, during and after conventional paraffin gauze nasal pack removal, using Hamilton Anxiety Scale, in patients operated under local versus general anesthesia.

PATIENTS AND METHODS:
A total of 120 adult patients of either gender, reporting to the ENT departments of Military Hospital Sialkot and Shorkot, planned for elective Septoplasty operation, were enrolled for this comparative study and divided into two groups. Sixty patients were to be operated under local anesthesia (Group A) while the other 60 were undergoing the same procedure under general anesthesia (Group B). Written consent was taken from the patients and approval of a protocol for this study was obtained from the local ethical committee. Exclusion criteria included any psychological disorder and conditions requiring other nasal surgery with septoplasty like turbinectomy/turbinoplasty. None of the patients was pre-medicated on the night prior to surgery. All patients were evaluated and reviewed by a Psychologist. The educational qualification of all patients was documented and classified as illiterate, primary school, middle, Matric and graduates. Each patient was handed over a chart containing information about what to expect in the post-operative period. All surgeries were performed by senior otolaryngologists, using the same standard operative technique. At the end of surgery, anterior nasal packing was done using conventional paraffin gauze packs and left in place for 24 hours.

Before removing the nasal packs 4% Xylocaine solution was instilled around the nasal packs for 20 minutes to facilitate their relatively painless removal. Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) was used to measure the patients’ anxiety in both groups A & B, 1 hour pre-operatively ; immediately before nasal pack removal and 1 hour after removal of nasal pack. (Figure 1). The results were analysed using the student’s paired t-test. A ‘p value’ of <0.001 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS:
The age of 120 patients enrolled for this study ranged from 18 to 44 years with a mean of 26.3 years. The age range of 60 patients in group A was 18 to 40 years (Mean age: 25.4 years), while that of group B was 18 to 44 years (Mean age: 27.1 years). There was a total of 74 male and 46 female patients in this study (fig 2). In group A there were 38 males and 22 females (ratio of 1.72:1) while in group B there were 36 males and 24 female patients (ratio of 1.5:1). Regarding the education status of the patients, majority were primary school graduates (35%. n=42), followed by high school graduates (26.66%. n=32) (fig. 3).

The mean Hamilton Anxiety Scale assessment scores in both groups were of ‘mild’ category. The most common symptoms (54%) reported by patients were Somatic (muscular) and Respiratory symptoms. The highest scores in both groups were observed immediately before nasal pack removal, with a range of 15-18, while the lowest scores in both groups were documented one hour after pack removal with a range of 13-16. (table 1). Surprisingly the anxiety prior to nasal pack removal was even higher than anxiety before surgery (table 1). There was statistically significant difference among both groups recorded immediately before the nasal pack removal. Anxiety level in group B (Patients administered general anesthesia) was lower than group A (Patients administered local anesthesia) (p<0.0001).

DISCUSSION:
Septoplasty is one of the most commonly performed procedures for the treatment of deviated nasal septum. Septal surgery may lead to many complications and to prevent these complications, nose is routinely packed after surgery. Nasal packing is related with numerous drawbacks like uneasiness to the patient during packing and at the time of removal. In addition, it may cause headache, sinusitis, reduced sleep quality, respiratory difficulties, decreased oxygen saturation and toxic shock syndrome. Our study is the first one to document and compare the
Anxiety experienced prior to conventional paraffin gauze nasal pack removal in patients operated under local versus general anesthesia.

We performed septoplasty in this study and the pack was removed after 24 hours in all the patients. Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) was used for recording anxiety level. It was introduced by Max Hamilton in 1959 to measure both psychic and somatic anxiety levels in patients objectively. The score consists of 14 items, each defined by a series of symptoms. Each item is scored on a scale of 0 to 4 (not present, mild, moderate, severe and incapacitating), with a total score range of 0 to 56. A score of 17 or less indicates mild anxiety, 18 to 24 indicates mild to moderate anxiety while a score of 25 to 30 indicates moderate to severe anxiety.

Sahin in his study concluded that State/Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) anxiety levels did not decrease significantly after operation, but only after removal of nasal packs. This is commensurate with our findings where the Hamilton

---

**Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A)**

Classification of symptoms: 0-absent; 1-mild; 2-moderate; 3-Severe; 4-Incapacitating.

HAM-A score level of anxiety: <17mild; 18-24 mild to moderate; 25-30 moderate to severe

---

### Table 1 Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale Scores in Both Groups A and B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 hour pre-operatively</th>
<th>Immediately before pack removal</th>
<th>1 hour after pack removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>St. deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group A</strong></td>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group B</strong></td>
<td>14-16</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 1. Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale**

**TOTAL SCORE:** ____________
Patients who remembered their nasal packing being performed under local anesthesia exhibited more anxiety before nasal pack removal compared to those who had nasal packing done under general anesthesia. It is recommended that proper analgesia, adequate topical anesthesia, gentle insertion would make this process less distressing and subsequently result in reduced anxiety at their removal.
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