Feasibility And Efficiency Of Ureterorenoscopy As A Day-care Procedure For **Treatment Of Ureteric Calculi**

Haroon Sabir Khan, Hussain Ahmed, Mobashir Ahmed Saeed, Muhammad Akmal, Muhammad Nawaz, Mudassar Sajjad

ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess the feasibility and efficiency of ureterorenoscopy as a day-care procedure for treatment of ureteric calculi in order to reduce their heavy workload and to spare resources for reducing long waiting list of elective advanced urological surgeries for patients admitted as an indoor category.

Study design: Prospective descriptive study.

Place and duration of study: The study was conducted in Urology department of PNS Shifa, Karachi from July 2017 to March 2018.

Methodology: All patients who presented to our institute for ureteric calculi with normal creatinine and no urosepsis were included in the study. The ureterorenoscopy procedure was carried out either in spinal anesthesia or general anesthesia using laryngeal mask. Post-operative outcomes criteria for feasibility were assessed as 'rate of complications that required admission in the hospital'.

Results: A total of 164 patients underwent ureterorenoscopy. Out of these 151 successful ureterorenoscopy procedures for urolithiasis were performed with 98% stone clearance. Majority of patients went home the same day with no sequel, only twelve patients were kept for a day or two for minor complications. Nine of these had severe pain postoperatively requiring parenteral analgesia and were discharged on first postop day. Three had developed fever and were discharged on second postop day. No confounding factors were found to predict the readmission event.

Conclusion: A day care ureterorenoscopy is a safe procedure in a full time day care setting, with a rapid turnover and clinically safe outcome with few and trivial complications requiring readmission.

Key Words: day-care, outcome, ureterorenoscopy, ureteric calculi.

INTRODUCTION:

Ureterorenoscopy was first introduced in 1980 for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes¹. With advancements in optics and lithotripsy mechanics, the procedure was refined and a wide range of ureteric pathologies were targeted. Narrow caliber scopes and additional accessory mini instruments² led to improvement in postoperative outcomes including rapid recovery and minimal postoperative sequel³⁻⁵.

Haroon Sabir Khan HOD, Urology Department, PNS Shifa Karachi
Hussain Ahmed Associate Prof Urology Department, PNS Shifa Karachi
Mobashir Ahmed Saeed Associate Prof, Anesthesia Department, PNS Shifa Karachi
Muhammad Akmal Comdt Armed Forces Institute of Urology, Rawalpindi
Muhammad Nawaz Consultant Urologist, Armed Forces Institute of Urology, Rawalpindi
Mudassar Sajjad Consultant Urologist, Armed Forces Institute of Urology, Rawalpindi
Received: 17-08-18 Accepted: 23-11-18

For treatment of ureteric calculi, Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL) and ureterorenoscopy have been compared for efficacy in terms of stone clearance and complication rates^{6,7}. Ureterorenoscopy for upper and middle ureteric calculi has 90-96% and 92-97% stone clearance rate respectively⁸⁻¹⁰ however, it is the treatment of choice for lower ureteric calculi with 100% stone clearance rate by 2nd postop day^{11,12}.

ESWL does not require anesthesia but the immediate stone clearance rates are not comparable to ureterorenoscopy because there is delayed or prolonged stone clearance time, sometimes up to 4 months and that too with multiple ESWL sessions^{13,14}. These factors lead to poor patient satisfaction rates and a compromised life style and comfort during the whole experience of the treatment sessions with ESWL. On the other hand ureterorenoscopy for upper and mid ureteric calculi although requires anesthesia (spinal or general)¹⁵⁻¹⁹, do take the lead over ESWL as immediate patient satisfaction is almost 95 to 100%²⁰⁻²² and that it saves multiple interventions, surgeons' time and effort as well as over utilization of facility.

Ureterorenoscopy had been generally performed in an indoor settings but discharging the patient on the same day as outcome measure, has led to the feasibility of converting it as a day care procedure in various settings where ever it has been objectively studied multiple times. In all these evaluations 68 to 100% patients were discharged the same day leading to the possibility of converting the facility into a day care setting ^{3,4}. However, with regard to converting it into a complete outdoor procedure the outcome analysis must be documented in order to prevent unnecessary burden on the patient and the hospital indoor capability.

Our institute is among the heaviest workload laden urology center. There is very high turnover of patients with comparatively limited indoor space resulting in constrained admissions. In this study we assessed the possibility of performing the ureterorenoscopy as a day care procedure after analyzing the readmission rates and frequency of complications.

METHODOLOGY:

This prospective descriptive case series review included patients undergoing ureterorenoscopy in Urology department of PNS Shifa, Karachi from July 2017 to March 2018. All those patients who presented with ureteric calculi were evaluated for day care procedure. Those presenting with urosepsis, raised creatinine, solitary kidney and significant co-morbidity were excluded from the study. A complete preoperative work up included baseline blood tests and CT-KUB (plain) for stone evaluation. The surgery was performed in a dedicated day care unit from 0800 to 1500 hrs. Patients were discharged from the day care unit after 4 to 6 hours post-operatively. All procedures were carried out by experienced urologists who had performed more than 500 ureteroscopic procedures. An 8 Fr semi-rigid ureterorenoscope with 02 channels was used in all cases. A perioperative antibiotic and a ureteric safety guide-wire were considered mandatory. A stone cone was used to prevent the proximal migration of the stones. The stones were broken with lithoclast and retrieved with graspers or Dormia basket. DJ stents placement was left at the operator's discretion. Postoperatively the patients were monitored for pain, hematuria and fever. They were kept on oral antibiotics and analgesics for 3 days.

Patient demographics, stone size and location, DJ stent placement, stone clearance (estimated by postoperative Xray KUB), completion of procedure and post-operative complications were recorded. Those who required prolonged hospital stay, the reason and duration of indoor stay were also recorded. The data was analyzed by SPSS version 22.0.

RESULTS:

A total of 164 day care ureterorenoscopic procedures were performed for ureteric stones (137 males and 27 females, mean age 34 years, range 14 to 70). In 13 procedures ureters were not negotiable and they were stented with DJ stent under fluoroscopic guidance. 151 successful ureteroscopic procedures were performed; the size, number and the location of the stones are shown in Table 1. Out of 151 patients 135 patients had stone clearance during the primary procedure accounting for immediate clearance rate of 90%. Difficult ureter was encountered in 13 patients for which placing a DJ stent and a successful ureterorenoscopy was possible after 3 weeks.

Twelve patients were kept for more than 24 hours and were shifted to the indoor facility from day care (Table 2). Nine of these had severe pain and vomiting postoperatively requiring parenteral fluids and analgesia. Six out of nine were those in whom DJ stent was not placed. They were discharged next day. Three had developed fever which required parenteral antibiotics for 3 days after which they were discharged on oral antibiotics. From among the total of 164 cases 16 cases having upper ureteric calculi the stone was pushed back into the kidney and a DJ stent was placed; these were dealt with ESWL later on. Record was evaluated to detect reasons predictive of delayed recovery (Table 3). Placement of DJ stent was found to be of value in preventive severe pain and vomiting requiring admission.

DISCUSSION:

Day care procedures or ambulatory surgery where patient does not stay in the hospital overnight due to rapid postoperative recovery has reduced the overall cost for the said surgeries. Ureterorenoscopy is one of very few urological procedures which have been considered for the day care setting due to its short operative time and quick post-operative recovery.

In our study the adequacy of URS for the ureteric stones in our day care setting was efficiently demonstrated. Out of 164 cases over all stone clearance was 90%. Ten percent were those with upper ureteric calculi in which partial stone breakage was achieved along with the stone being pushed back into the kidney. These required ESWL in later setting. In cases of middle and lower third ureteric calculi complete stone clearance was 100%. The findings concur with other studies where stone clearance rates for middle and lower third ureteric calculi were 91 to $96\%^{23-26}$.

Twelve patients were kept for more than 24 hours and were shifted to the indoor facility from day care. Nine of these had severe pain and vomiting postoperatively requiring

Stone size	Number of stones
Stone size (mm)	
< 5	16
6-10	92
>10	56
Radiolucent	30
Location	
Left / Right	81 / 83
Ureteric site	
Upper	29 (18%)
Middle	52 (32%)
Lower	83 (50%)
TOTAL	164

Table-1 Size Number and location of the stones.

Reason for admission	No of Patients	Procedure	Inpatient Treatment	Duration of admission (days)
Pain and Vomiting	9	No DJ stent was placed	IV fluids and opioid analgesics	2
Fever	3	Stones larger than 10mm	IV antibiotics for 3 days	3

Table-2. Cause of admission of	of Day care patients	and their management.
--------------------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

Factor	Not admitted	Admitted
Number	152	12
Mean (range) age, years	34 (14-64)	39 (23-70)
Peri-operative antibiotics	All	All
No DJ placed	8	9
Large stones(>10mm)	53	3
Median(range) operative time, mins	28 (16-45)	31 (17-48)

Table-3. Predictive causes of delayed recovery.

parenteral fluids and analgesia. All of them were without DJ stent postoperatively. They were discharged next day on oral analgesia. Three had developed fever which required parenteral antibiotics for 3 days after which they were discharged on oral antibiotics.

In our study the rate of readmission as an indoor case has been seven percent (12 patients), five percent were due to severe pain and vomiting while remaining two percent were admitted due to fever. Those who presented with severe pain and vomiting were those in whom no DJ stent was placed. In 17 patients out of 164 no stent was placed postoperatively and out of them 9 had severe pain that required readmission. Cheung et al reported higher postoperative pain especially on the 3rd postoperative day in those who were stented with DJ. The pain was also found to be more in females especially on the first and second postoperative days. However, they could not identify any predictive factors for unplanned admission²⁴. In our study the male to female ratio was 4:1. Moreover, absence of DJ stent was the cause of the severe pain, which might be due to missed residual fragments impacted temporarily in the ureter. Yip et al reported 2 out of 61 patients (3%) readmitted with severe postoperative pain²³. Similarly Bromwich et al also reported a successful day care ureterorenoscopy on 64 patients with only 3 patients (4%) admitted postoperatively for pain only. There was also no predictive factors identified for the unexpected admissions²⁶. Bloom et al reported readmission rate of 5.8%. These patients were admitted for pain control and all of these were those who were not stented after the ureterorenoscopy²⁷. Tan et al also reported a safe and successful day care ureteroscopic procedure with reporting of readmission due to pain in 10% of those cases who had either bilateral procedure, middle and upper ureteric stone clearance or history of psychiatric ailment²⁸.

In our study, those presenting with fever (2%) were managed

conservatively with parenteral antibiotics. All recovered in three days and were discharged on oral antibiotics without any sequel. There was no confounding factor observed for the occurrence of fever. Bloom et al documented 3% incidence of fever requiring readmission. They too could not identify any confounding factors leading to fever. However, antibiotic prophylaxis was mandatory in their set of patients. All the patients were discharged 3 days after with oral antibiotics²⁷. Taylor et al found no significant predictors of immediate or delayed admission. They documented infection as the cause of delayed admissions. Those patients who developed complications of infection after ureterorenoscopy (one each with pyonephrosis, PUO and pyelonephritis) received perioperative antibiotics. In the absence of randomized controlled study it cannot be said with assertion that the use of prophylactic antibiotic reduced the complications to what extent precluding readmission although empirically it did prevent complications apparently. This finding agrees with previous randomized controlled trials, where the use of prophylactic antibiotics in endoscopic procedures significantly reduced the complication rates²⁹.

Technological improvement in ureteroscopes have resulted in negligible morbidity and stone clearance rates up to 100% for middle and lower ureteric calculi. In all patients who fulfill the criteria for local day-surgery, ureteroscopies can be performed as a safe day-care procedure, although less than 12% of these may subsequently require readmission. If social and anaesthetic criteria are fulfilled, there is no urological condition that prevents a day-care ureterorenoscopy. All patients should receive perioperative antibiotics for the procedure. We recommend routine stenting after ureterorenoscopy, as we found the placement of stent to be protective of pain that might avoid readmission. The extra cost and acceptable negligible morbidity resulting from stent placement is insignificant as compared to the added cost and burden of prolonged admission in the hospital.

CONCLUSION:

In spite of general anaesthetic requirement, ureterorenoscopy in expert hands is minimally invasive and offers early stone clearance, with good patient satisfaction and a swift postoperative recovery. Day-care ureterorenoscopy is feasible and cost effective preference in management of ureteric stones.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Pérez-Castro Ellendt E, Martínez-Piñeiro JA. Transurethral ureterorenoscopy. A current urological procedure. Arch Esp Urol; 1980;33(5):445–60.
- 2. Wills TE, Burns JR. Ureterorenoscopy: an outpatient procedure? J Urol 1994;151(5):1185–7.
- Kirkegård J, Ryhammer AM, Larsen UT, Borre M. Outpatient endoscopic treatment of ureteric stones: Five years' experience in a self-contained outpatient surgery unit. Scand J Urol 2015;49(5):395–9.
- Molina Escudero R, Gonzalez Avila N, Alvarez Ardura M, Egui Rojo MA, Ripalda Ferretti E, Crespo Martinez L, et al. Predictors of success and hospitalization in semi-rigid ureterorenoscopy for lithiasis as an outpatient procedure. Arch Esp Urol 2013;66(9):865–72.
- Chan KY, Zulkifli MZ, Nazri MJ, Rashid MO. A review of day care ureterorenoscopy of a teaching hospital in Malaysia. Med J Malaysia 2005;60(1):5–9.
- 6. Alam K, Ikram Ullah. Evaluation of safety and efficacy of ureteroscopic lithotripsy in managing ureteral calculi. Ann Pak Inst Med Sci 2011;7(3):119–22.
- 7. Rasool M, Mumtaz F. Experience of ureteroscopic pneumatic lithotripsy in management of lower and mid ureteric calculi. Ann King Edward Med Uni 2008;14(1):21–15.
- 8. Chow GK, Patterson DE, Blute ML, Segura JW. Ureterorenoscopy: Effect of Technology and Technique on Clinical Practice. J Urol 2003;170(1):99–102.
- 9. Hussain A, Abdullah A. Uretroscopic Pneumatic Lithotripsy in complete clearance of Ureteric stones. J Surg Pak 2004;9(3):6–8.
- Rehman A, Ahmed M. Role of Ureterorenoscopy in the management of Ureteric stone. Ann King Edward Med Uni 2004;10(3):208–10.
- 11. Rana SM. Pneumatic Lithotripsy for the treatment of Ureteric Calculi. Pak Armed Forces Med J 2003;53(1):44–6.
- Lamotte F, Izadifar V, Fontaine E, Barthélémy Y, Beurton D. [Treatment of ureteral calculi: report of 152 calculi]. Prog Urol 2000;10(1):24–8.
- Erhard M, Salwen J, Bagley DH. Ureteroscopic removal of mid and proximal ureteral calculi. J Urol 1996;155(1):38–42.
- Izamin I, Aniza I, Rizal AM, Aljunid SM. Comparing extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and ureterorenoscopy for treatment of proximal ureteric calculi: a cost-effectiveness study. Med J Malaysia 2009;64(1):12–21.
- Shaikh A H, El Khalid S. Ureterorenoscopy Under Spinal Versus General Anaesthesia: Morbidity and Stone Clearance. J Coll Physicians Surg Pakistan 2008;18(3):168–71.

- Rao MP, Kumar S, Dutta B, Vyas N, Nandy PR, Mahmood M, et al. Safety and Efficacy of Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy for Ureteral Calculi Under Sedoanalgesia – A Prospective Study. Int Urol Nephrol 2005;37(2):219–24.
- Khumukcham S, Lodh B, Kangjam S, Sinam R, Akoijam K, Gupta S. Ureteroscopic lithotripsy as day care procedure: Our early experience in Regional Institute of Medical Sciences. J Med Soc 2013;27(1):52.
- Shaikh A H, Khalid S E. Ureterorenoscopy under Spinal versus General Anesthesia: morbidity and stone clearance. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2008;18(3):168–71.
- Arrabal Martín M, Ocete Martín C, Jiménez Pacheco A, Miján Ortiz JL, Pareja Vilches M, Zuluaga Gómez A. [Methodology and limits of outpatient ureterorenoscopy]. Arch Esp Urol 2006;59(3):261–72.
- 20. Fasihuddin Q HAT. Ureterorenoscopy (URS): an effective interventional and diagnostic modality. J Pak Med Assoc 2002;52(11):510–2.
- 21. Aboumarzouk OM, Kata SG, Keeley FX, McClinton S, Nabi G. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) versus ureteroscopic management for ureteric calculi. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2012;16(5):CD006029.
- Moyano Calvo JL, Huesa Martínez I, Ramírez Mendoza A, Dávalos Casanova G, Aparcero Rodríguez E, Morales López A, et al. [Ambulatory ureterorenoscopy and pneumatic lithotripsy. Our experience after 1803 ureteral stones]. Arch Esp Urol 2004;57(5):539–44.
- 23. H Yip SK, W Lee FC, Tam PC, L Leung SY, H Yip SK. Outpatient Treatment of Middle and Lower Ureteric Stones: Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy versus Ureteroscopic Laser Lithotripsy. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1998;27(4): 515-19.
- Cheung MC, Lee F, Leung YL, Wong BB, Chu SM, Tam PC. Outpatient ureterorenoscopy: predictive factors for postoperative events. Urology 2001;58(6):914–8.
- Shaikh AR, Ali M, Memon S, Soomro AS, Hameed Bozdar A. Ureteroscopic Lithotripsy: A Day-Surgery Procedure. ISRA Med J 2013;5(2):130-4
- Bromwich EJ, Lockyer R, Keoghane SR. Day-case rigid and flexible ureterorenoscopy. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2007;
- 27. Bloom J, Matthews G, Phillips J. Factors Influencing Readmission after Elective Ureterorenoscopy. J Urol 2016;195(5):1487–91.
- Tan H-J, Strope SA, He C, Roberts WW, Faerber GJ, Wolf JS. Immediate Unplanned Hospital Admission After Outpatient Ureterorenoscopy for Stone Disease. J Urol 2011;185(6): 2181–5.

