

Reproductive Rights and Ethical Conflicts in Conservative Societies: A Call for Global Discourse

Haleema Sadia

How to cite this Article:

Sadia H. Reproductive Rights and Ethical Conflicts in Conservative Societies: A Call for Global Discourse. J Bahria Uni Med Dental Coll. 2025;15(3): DOI: <https://doi.org/10.51985/JBUMDC2025555>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Horror stories amongst gynecologists, with their prevalence, have now become dinner-time conversation—slowly normalizing situations that should never be considered routine. This kind of acceptance leads to ambiguous and varying moral stances taken up by health professionals, ones not merely dictated by medical ethics but also overshadowed by the cultural norms of conservative societies in South East Asia.

In emergency rooms, the patient assumes a passive role, while it is the practitioner who decides—on behalf of the patient—the appropriate management plan. This view is in accordance with the technocratic model of birth, which views a pregnant woman as an object and the practitioner as someone with the authority to provide care from outside.¹ This objectification is deeply rooted in the pre-hospital experiences of patients and is manifested through indicators of sexual violence, multiple forced pregnancies, and the pervasive stigma surrounding genital comorbidities. Common emergency situations seen by attending doctors in clinical settings include repeated pregnancies at a young age, hymen reconstruction, and complications from untreated genital trauma.

Clinical complexity aside, doctors also face the reluctance of the majority of women to be appropriately treated—forcing them to navigate ethical burdens exacerbated by systemic and cultural constraints. Many practitioners report facing extreme professional pressure, not only due to high patient loads but also from societal expectations that they prioritize family dynamics over clinical judgment, leading to compromises in patient care.² This potential lapse, in an ideal world, goes against basic medical ethics but is often masked by a superficial respect for patient autonomy—even when such autonomy is shaped more by patriarchal structures than by informed consent.

Furthermore, the increasing association between genital conditions and psychological or physical violence has led many gynecologists to adopt protective biases.

The tension between professional judgment and cultural accommodation leaves many walking a moral tightrope. In these situations, doctors may choose treatment paths not

purely for medical precision, but for what they believe might shield the patient from harm. Knowing that certain conditions make women more vulnerable to abuse, they may adjust their clinical approach accordingly—avoiding recommendations that could provoke backlash from family members or society.³ While such decisions stem from a place of concern, they often come at the expense of optimal care, becoming morally ambiguous acts that weigh heavily on the conscience and integrity of otherwise capable practitioners.

The broader implications of this issue demand urgent attention from the global medical community, as these ethical challenges highlight the intersection of cultural norms and clinical practices, emphasizing the need for advocacy, education, and systemic change to safeguard both patient welfare and professional integrity. Workplace stress, institutional neglect, and lack of administrative support further push gynecologists into moral and professional crises, often leading to burnout and ethical lapses.⁴

Hence, medical training should include modules on ethical decision-making in culturally complex contexts. Institutions must create safe spaces for practitioners to report pressures without fear of judgment. Above all, health systems must prioritize structures that protect both patient autonomy and professional integrity.

Authors Contribution:

Haleema Sadia: Conception, design, analysis

REFERENCES:

1. Younas S, Khanum S, Qamar AH. Decision making among residents in training of obstetrics and gynecology: A qualitative exploration in Pakistani context. *PLoS One*. 2023 Nov 2;18(11):e0287592. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0287592.
2. Ali TS, Sami N, Saeed AA, Ali P. Gynaecological morbidities among married women and husband's behaviour: Evidence from a community-based study. *Nursing Open*. 2021 Mar 1;8(2):553-561.
3. Shaikh F, Aslam M, Dahri S, Zoha, Ahmed I, Shafique A, Asma. Perception about Emergency Contraception in Married Females of Childbearing (15-49) years in Dadu, Sindh. *J Soc Obstet Gynaecol Pak*. 2024;14(3):405-409.
4. Noreen K, Iqbal H, Khanam F, Khuwaja A, Iqbal S. Factors leading to lapses in professional behavior of Gynae residents in Pakistan: A qualitative study. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024;24(1):Article 44.

Haleema Sadia

Student, 2nd Year MBBS
Bahria University Health Sciences, Karachi
Email: haleema1507@gmail.com

Received: 17-03-2025

Accepted: 26-06-2025